



Report No. FAA-RD-80-52



MASTER PLAN ADDENDUM

FLIGHT SERVICE STATION AUTOMATION PROGRAM

MARCH 1980



Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
Systems Research and Development Service
Washington, D.C. 20590

80 6 2 083

OC FILE COPY

ADA 085002

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

This Addendum to the Flight Service Station Automation Program Master Plan, dated January 1978, describes the plan for the automated and modernized Flight Service Station System. It:

- Reaffirms the automation program
- Extends automation to Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico
- Establishes automated Flight Service Stations at 61 major centers of general aviation activity in 45 states and Puerto Rico. New buildings will be required for 59 of the 61 facilities
- Eliminates the collocation of Flight Service Stations at Air Route Traffic Control Centers
- Provides for the consolidation of existing Flight Service Stations into the 61 new facilities

As a result of these measures, the total cost of automating and consolidating the Flight Service Station System would be increased from the \$453 million necessary to automate 20 Hub facilities, as described in the Master Plan, to \$495 million to automate 61 Flight Service Stations. A difference of \$42 million.

March 28, 1980

Date

Accession For

MTIS STARI
DDC TAB

Unamnounced
Justification

By

Distribution/

Availability C.des

Acaid and/or
Dist special

1. Report No. FAA RD-80-52	2. Government Acce	ssion No.	3. Rec	ipient's Catalog	,
FSS-01A1	AD-A185	002	1	12) 1	66
4. Trile and Subsite	A Principal of the Control of the Co	and the second second	SPREE	ort Date	,
Master Plan Addendum		7		Mar de Barris 8	Ø /
Flight Service Station Auto	mation program	n 🍎 📜		orming Urganizat	ion Coor
Adoparam.	and the second s	Company of the Compan	8. Perf	orming Organizati	on Report No.
Aumor					
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	***		10. Wo	k Unit No. (TRA	(5)
Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administra					-
Systems Research and Develo			11. Co.	ntract or Grant No	.
Washington, DC 20590	,		13. Typ	e of Report and f	Period Covered
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			┦ ″	,	Silver Covered
Department of Transportatio Federal Aviation Administra			Mas	ster Plan A	lddendum
Systems Research and Develo			14 Spc	nsoring Agency (ode
Washington, DC 20590			1	ARD-403	•
15 Supplementary Notes					
(14) FAA/RD-88-52	1. FAA/RI) - F S S - Ø I	- A I		
	,				
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows:——	pilot self-se	ervice featur	es. The	e Master Pl	an, however,
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flice collocation was for automate ause it isolar	ervice feature The propose ght Service Sowith Air Route ed Flight Services the Flight	es. The d resolutations e Traffi vice Sta	e Master Plution of the domestic ic Control ations. We	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requi	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flice collocation was for automate ause it isolated Aviation	The propose The p	es. The d resolu tations e Traffi vice Sta t Servic	domestic (domestic control ations. We ce Station	an, however, hese issues and noncon-Centers. We have decide System from
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. ——	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight collocation was for automate ause it isolated at Aviation e quarters to red at 59 of the self self self self self self self sel	The propose The propose The propose The propose The propose The propose The fight Service The flight Service The flight Thouse the authority The flight The flight Thouse the authority The flight T	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service	domestic (domestic control tions. We station	an, however, hese issues and noncon-Centers. We have decide System from a New asolidation
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. —— The consolidation of ex	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight collocation was for automate ause it isolar al Aviation e quarters to red at 59 of the cisting Flight	The propose The propose The propose The propose The propose The propose The Service State The propose	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accom	(domestic ic Control ations. We be Station facilities modate cor	an, however, hese issues and noncon-Centers. We have decide System from a New asolidation facilities.
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. —— The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight cation of Flight cation of Flight cation	The propose The p	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accom	(domestic ic Control ations. We station facilities modate corto the new ne automate	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide System from New isolidation facilities. ed facility
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. —— The consolidation of ex	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight collocation was for automate al automate al Aviation e quarters to red at 59 of self self self self self self self sel	The propose ght Service S with Air Route ed Flight Services the Flight house the authe 61 sites Service Stat vice Stations shown the leve	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Servic tomated to accom	(domestic ic Control itions. We station facilities modate control itions. We call the same automate ervice at t	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide System from New isolidation facilities. In the second of the sec
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requi and automation. —— The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u to be at least equal to the service Station netwo	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight services at Second automate ause it isolated at Second at Se	The propose The Flight The flig	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accomions into the el of se the nona	(domestic ic Control ations. We station facilities modate corto the new ne automated in automate	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide System from New hasolidation facilities. A facility he new facility ocations.
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. —— The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight services at Second automate ause it isolated at Second at Se	The propose The fight Service The Flight The flight Service The f	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accommodate into the lof set the nona	(domestic ic Control ations. We station facilities modate control ations in the new ne automate in the control ations in the control ation in the control at	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide System from New isolidation facilities. In the new facility is new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in the new facility in the new facility is new facility in the new facility in
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: —— The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requi and automation. —— The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u to be at least equal to As a result of these measure Flight Service Station netwo a difference of \$42 million.	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight service at 59 of service at 50 of service at	The propose The p	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accommodate ions int into the el of se the nona ating ar \$453 mi availat Nationa	(domestic ic Control tions. We see Station facilities modate control to the new ne automated lautomated lautom	an, however, hese issues and noncon-Centers. We have decide System from a colidation facilities. Informatical Informatical Informatical
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: — The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. — The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u to be at least equal to the service Station netwo a difference of \$42 million.	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight service at 59 of service at 59 of service at 50 of service at	The propose The p	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accommodate ions int into the el of set the nona ating ar \$453 mi availat Nationa ringfiel	(domestic ic Control tions. We see Station facilities modate control to the new ne automated lautomated lautom	an, however, hese issues and noncon-Centers. We have decide System from a colidation facilities. It decides facility the new facility the new facility ocations.— U.S. publical Informatical Informatical
The Flight Service Station M stations and introduction of deferred decisions on severa is as follows: — The distribution and lo terminous) and possible have identified 61 site against collocation bec the primary user, Gener Construction of suitabl buildings will be requiand automation. — The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u to be at least equal to the service Station network a difference of \$42 million. This consolidation of suitable buildings will be requiant and automation. — The consolidation of ex Consolidation of adjace will not be initiated u to be at least equal to the service Station network a difference of \$42 million.	pilot self-self key issues. cation of Flight self solution with the service at t	The propose The p	tations e Traffi vice Sta t Service tomated to accommodate ions int into the el of set the nona ating ar \$453 mi availat Nationa ringfiel	(domestic ic Control itions. We see Station facilities modate control itions in the new ne automated lautomated lautomate	an, however, hese issues and noncon- Centers. We have decide System from New isolidation facilities. Infacility the new facility the new fa

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

j	į		e B	i		78.7	2 2				3 2			=	ĸ	8	¥ `	2			*		A 4
Measures]	inches	speck.	ş		square inches	square miles	acres			pounds			fluid ounces	pents	gailtons	cubic feet	cubic yards			Fahrenheit	tomperature	222 091
sions from Metric	Multiphy by LENGTH	0.04 0.4	5 11	ø. 0	AREA	0.16	7.1 9.0	2.5	MASS (weight)	X0 0	2.2	3	VOLUME	0.03	- 2.	0.26	35	E:	TEMBERATURE (44464)	Fuer out level	9. 5 (then	add 32)	98.6
Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures	When Yes Know	millimeters Centimeters	meters	k i tometors	1	squire centimeters	square meters square kilometers	hectares (10,000 m²)	3	1	grams kilograms	tonnes (1000 kg)		milliters	liters	liters	cubic meters	cubic meters	16140		Celsius	temperature	or 32 -40 0 40
	je ga A	£ 5	E E	5		~ 8~	- [~] 5	2			. ĵ	-		Ē			~ = ^	Ē			ပ္		'
EZ !ZZ	: 12 '0	191			,	,			,		1	1 1	1	1 1	1		1	1		1		ıl	1 1
; '			1111	: - - : - -		1,1,1,	1.1.	 	 	 	' '	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	 	 		 }	 • •	 	1	 ' ' '		 	
i + 1			7	: - - : - -	 - - -	6		.F.J		 	' '	1.		ı		3	ł	 ''' 		١		 	1 '
	2 Symbol 2 S		7	· • 5	 - -	6		sters m² iometers tum?		 	'			ı	Ē	3		111	- "E '	2		•	1 1
			5 E	notices no histories lan	ן ן ן	6	square meters m ²	Square meters m² Square hitometers hm²	hectares		-3	Lonnes		Ē	mellitters mi	3 Ē -	liters 1		Cubic meters m3	2 TE	PERATURE (exact)	٠	temperature 6
Approximate Conversions to Metric Mosares	To find Symbol		Continueters Cm	0,9 meters in a significant transfers in a signi		6	0.09 square motors m ²	Square meters m² Square hitometers hm²	0.4 Nactares Na	MASS (weight)	States of the state of the stat	ns 0,9 tomnes t	AOIGME	Milliters 31	ts 15 milliliters mi	Ounces 30 militaters mi co	liters 1	36:0	0.03 Cubic meters m ³	Cubic meters m ³ To	TEMPERATURE (oract)		ture subtracting temperature 32)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Master Plan for the Flight Service Station Automation Program dated January 1978 is a planning document for the implementation of the Flight Service Station Automation System and serves as the acquisition authorizing document. Acquisition is limited to Phases A and B of the plan with complete implementation of Flight Service Station Automation for Level III (highest activity) Flight Service Stations and implementation of pilot self service.

Phases C and D of the Master Plan provide a plan for collocation and consolidation of the present domestic 290 Flight Service Stations into 20 new Hub facilities at the Air Route Traffic Control Center locations. Also included was an alternate plan to meet system service demands without collocation, but with automation extended up to a maximum of 150 Flight Service Stations with or without consolidation of the remaining manual stations. The implementation approach and cost for both alternatives were considered to be almost identical for Phases A and B, therefore, the issue of which alternative would be followed was to remain under consideration without affecting program costs.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This document presents the policy, guidelines and plan for implementation of the alternate approach for Phase C and D of Flight Service Station Automation Program. It is intended that the January 1978 Master Plan will be modified as soon as possible. The scope of the modifications are presented in Appendix 5 in overview form by major headings.

3.0 GENERAL

The Master Plan of January 1978 deferred decisions on several key issues. These issues have been studied jointly by FAA Air Traffic Service, Airway Facilities Service, and Systems Research and Development Service to present the preferred alternative plan for consolidation, distribution and location of automated Flight Service Stations. The recommended approach considers each of the following issues, and the approach taken to resolve them.

4.0 ISSUES

4.1 Background

Flight Service Stations were established in the 1920's for communication with mail planes flying between Washington, D.C., and Oakland, California. In the 1930's and 1940's, the flight service role expanded to include relaying traffic control clearances and accepting position reports for the newly established Air Route Traffic Control Centers. In the 1950's, the advent of remote air-ground communications facilities led to the elimination of the Flight Service Station role as an en route communications relay. Since 1960, Flight Service Stations have provided weather and

aeronautical services primarily to the General Aviation pilot. These services include: flight plan processing, preflight and inflight weather briefings, en route communication and emergency services (direction finding).

While the role of Flight Service Stations has changed dramatically during this period, there has been little physical change to the facilities or to their geographic distribution.

Considering the role which the Flight Service Station now plays in General Aviation safety and in an effort to provide improved service to the General Aviation public, we have reviewed the distribution and location of existing Flight Service Stations. The purpose of this review was to determine if the existing distribution is consistent with the goal of improved safety and service.

4.1.1 Consolidation and Collocation at Air Route Traffic Control Centers

A significant factor in the review was the outcome of the operational tests at the Washington Flight Service Station, Leesburg, Virginia. The Leesburg project involved the collocation and consolidation of three Flight Service Stations to the Air Route Traffic Control Center. The Leesburg tests did demonstrate that specific benefits are attainable through consolidation. These benefits include: increased capacity to provide service; more efficient staff utilization; equitable workload distribution; net decrease in overhead administrative costs. The tests also indicate there are no significant operational or cost advantages to be realized through collocation. Additionally, collocation has the negative consequence of total isolation of the system from the principal users—General Aviation. Consequently, we are no longer considering collocation of Flight Service Stations at Air Route Traffic Control Centers.

4.2 Distribution and Location of Flight Service Facilities

The problem in the distribution of the Flight Service Stations is one of balancing service against operating cost. The operating costs of the existing Flight Service Stations and the projected growth of General Aviation make continuation or expansion of the present system impractical.

A number of factors, some quantifiable and others judgmental, were considered in arriving at the number and tentative locations of the Flight Service Stations to receive automation. These include: General Aviation activity; i.e., airport operations and based aircraft; compatibility with the recently announced Satellite Airport Program; geographical distribution of facilities in terms of concentration of activity and homogeneity of terrain and weather; equalizing workload distribution between facilities; and utilizing existing equipment and locations to the extent practical.

The result of applying these preferential criteria was the selection of 61 facilities to receive automation. The locations of these facilities have been coordinated with the Regions, but have not been included in this document because they have not been discussed with the airport sponsors.

The initial application of the criteria indicates the 61 facilities will be located in 45 states and Puerto Rico. Twenty-nine locations are satellite airports. Forty-two of these tentative locations already have a Flight Service Station. All 61 facilities would be located at airports which are major centers of General Aviation activity within their respective flight plan areas.

4.3 Flight Service Station Quarters

Nineteen of the tentatively selected General Aviation airports do not have Flight Service Stations. New buildings will be required at those locations. One of the remaining 42 locations has existing quarters that could be adequately adapted to accommodate both automation and consolidation. New buildings would be required at 41 of the existing locations. One of these buildings is already under construction; therefore, this program has been developed and priced on the basis of FAA constructed buildings at 59 locations.

4.4 Intervening Period

It is recognized that during the intervening period-before the new system is operational-the workload to handle increased operations and the proposed dual operation during the transition period will require reduced hours of operation at some low activity stations, or a temporary increase in staff or both.

4.5 Consolidation of Flight Service Stations

Consolidation of the existing Flight Service Stations will be accomplished in several stages. After each automated Flight Service Station is in operation, we will ascertain that the quality of service provided is equal or superior to the service available from the existing stations in the area. Only then will we begin to consolidate the surrounding Flight Service Stations into the automated facility. The heart of our approach to consolidation is the development of a consolidation plan tailored to the needs of each individual flight plan area. This plan will be developed within national guidelines by the chief of the automated facility. The plan will also reflect the input obtained from the chiefs of the facilities to be consolidated, the FAA maintenance sector manager and the local aviation community.

New buildings will permit the development of standard floor plans to facilitate national planning for equipment, systems and operations. We envision a highly energy efficient building with specially designed high reliability power and environmental support systems. Appendix 1 is an artist's rendering of the building design we have chosen.

4.6 <u>Issue Resolution Summary</u>

We believe that the foregoing solutions to the issues which were deferred in the Master Plan represent the most operationally advantageous and cost effective approach to improving safety and service to the General Aviation community.

5.0 PROGRAM SCHEDULE AND FUNDING

The plan for a 61-Flight Service Station Configuration does not disturb the previously announced automation program schedule or funding plan. Deliveries of the limited automation systems are planned to begin in 1982 and will be installed in selected existing Flight Service Stations. The computer systems will be installed at Air Route Traffic Control Centers as planned.

Construction of the new Flight Service Station buildings will begin in 1982. Deliveries of expanded automation systems will begin in 1983 with final deliveries scheduled for 1987. Equipment required for the additional 18 facilities, beyond the 43 currently programmed, has been added at the end of the program schedule. Appendix 2 shows the planned schedule of system deliveries.

The funding plan is consistent with earlier plans. The cost of automation has been increased \$19M to cover the cost of 18 additional display systems. The cost for new buildings and consolidation approximate those shown in the Master Plan of 1978, however, at first glance the numbers may appear significantly different. This is because the 1978 plan is costed in constant 1977 dollars and the currently approved automation program is costed in dollars inflated per guidelines provided by OMB. In order to be consistent for comparison purposes the new plan and the 20 Hub plan dollars have been inflated at the same OMB rate. If actual inflation rates differ from projections, adjustments will be made in each years annual budget submission. A summary of the funding required by year is shown in Appendix 3. Appendix 4 delineates the differences in funding between this plan for 61 facilities and the Master Plan.

APPENDICES

FSS BUILDING	1.
SCHEDULE	2.
FUNDING	3.
COST COMPARISON	4.
MASTER PLAN REVISIONS OVERVIEW	5.





DOM OUR REMAINS IN AUGUSTA THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

APPENDIX 1

FLIGHT SERVICE STATION

SCHEDULE (CY)
SYSTEM DELIVERIES

	1977-80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	TOTAL
						i				
AUTOMATION										
Limited Automation			19	22						41
Full Automation				9	13	12	12	12	9	- 61
BUILDINGS		333		9	12	12	12	12	'n	29

FUNDING (FY)

	1977-80	ā	6	6	3	ď	2			
	09-7767	70	70	93	24	ရှင်	98	8/		TOTAL
AUTOMATION										
Original Level III (43)	30	85	32	33	25	19	l	ı		\$ 224
Additional Locations(18)	ı	ı	ı	c I	∞	6	1	ı		19m \$ 243M
BUILDINGS (59)	•	ı	23	25	25	27	26	ı		\$ 126M
CONSOLIDATION	ı	ı	ı	16	24	27	29 (T	ı		\$ 126V \$ 495V
									_	

 $(\underline{1}$ 1986 and following years

APPENDIX 4

	MASTER PLAN	PROPOSED PLAN	DIFFERENCE
AUTOMATION			
Original Level III (43)	\$224M	\$224M	
Additional Facilities (18)		\$ 19 M	\$ 19M
BUILDINGS 59 New Buildings		\$126M	
20 Hubs	\$ 104M		\$ 22M
CONSOLIDATION	\$ <u>125M</u> (<u>1</u> \$453M	\$ <u>126M</u> (<u>1</u> \$495M	\$ <u>1M</u> (1 \$ 42M

The cost/benefit analysis, prepared to support the January 1978 Flight Service Station Automation Program Master Plan, indicated a \$1.5 billion cumulative cost savings to 1995 by proceeding to the 20 Hub configuration. An update to this analysis, prepared to support the proposed plan, indicates a similar \$1.5 billion cumulative cost savings by proceeding to the 61 Flight Service Station configuration.

 $^{(\}underline{1}$ These figures represent inflated dollars to the year of expenditure in accordance with OMB inflation guidelines.

APPENDIX 5

Anticipated Master Plan Revisions

1.0 Introduction including Foreword and Introduction

Modification of Program Phases C and D

2.0 Program Objectives and Requirements

No changes

3.0 Program Planning

No change except Phases C and D earlier implementation of Building Program as required.

4.0 Systems and Systems Interface Descriptions

Minor changes - Remove collocation at ARTCCs.

5.0 Program Implementation

Modify building program and site numbers for 61 Automated Flight Service Stations. No change to AWP requirements. Increase FSDPS implementation requirement for 23 sites. Basic overall implementation schedule modification will be minor.

6.0 <u>Major Program Relationships</u>

No changes.

7.0 Program Management

Changes required.

8.0 Logistics Support

No changes

9.0 Staffing

Minor changes.

Planned levels for AF and AT personnel staffing are estimated to increase slightly in the preferred alternate plan as a result of increased numbers of facilities in the final system.

10.0 Training

Minor changes resulting from configuration change.

11.0 Security

No changes.

12.0 Financial

Changes required to reflect Program Sche. $\mbox{\em la}$ and Funding revisions of Section 5.0 of this document.